

MULTIDIMENSIONAL STOCHASTIC BURGERS EQUATION

ZDZISŁAW BRZEŹNIAK, BEN GOLDYS AND MISHA NEKLYUDOV

ABSTRACT. We consider multidimensional stochastic Burgers equation on the torus $\mathbb{T}^d$ and the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$. In both cases we show that for positive viscosity $\nu > 0$ there exists a unique strong global solution in L^p for $p > d$. In the case of torus we also establish a uniform in ν a priori estimate and consider a limit $\nu \searrow 0$ for potential solutions. In the case of $\mathbb{R}^d$ uniform with respect to ν a priori estimate established if a Beale-Kato-Majda type condition is satisfied.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to study the existence and the uniqueness of solutions to the multidimensional stochastic Burgers equation of the following form:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nu \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + f + \xi, & t > 0, x \in \mathcal{O}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathcal{O}, \end{cases}$$

where either $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$ or $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{T}^d$. In the equation above f is a deterministic force and ξ is a multidimensional noise, white in time and correlated in space. We do not assume that u_0 , f and ξ are of gradient form. The parameter $\nu > 0$ is known as viscosity. In this paper we will also study the limit of solutions to (1.1) when $\nu \rightarrow 0$.

Equation (1.1) has been proposed by Burgers [10] as a toy model for turbulence, see also Weinan [41]. Later, numerous applications were found in Astrophysics and Statistical Physics. For an interesting review of applications and problems related to equation (1.1), see [3] and references therein. The Burgers equation with data of non-potential type arises in many areas of Physics, including gas dynamics and the theory of inelastic granular media, see for example [4]. The theory of equation (1.1) in the non-potential case is largely a terra incognita, see the review [3], where a variety of open problems can be found. This paper and the preceding work [23] by the second and third named authors are the first steps towards answering some of these questions.

One dimensional stochastic Burgers equation has been fairly well studied. Da Prato, Debussche, Temam [16], see also Bertini, Cancrini and Jona-Lasinio [5], showed the existence of a unique global solution for one dimensional Burgers equation with additive noise. The existence and uniqueness results have been extended to the case of multiplicative noise by Da Prato, Gatarek [17] and Gyöngy, Nualart [25].

Multidimensional Burgers equation has been studied much less comprehensively. Kiselev, Ladyzhenskaya [31] proved the existence and uniqueness of a global solution to the deterministic Burgers equation a bounded domain $\mathcal{O}$ in the class of functions $L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathcal{O})) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^{1,2}(\mathcal{O}))$. The main idea of their proof is to apply maximum principle to deduce a priori estimates similar to the a priori estimates for the Navier-Stokes equation. Ton [11] established convergence of solutions on small time interval when we take the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$ and when the initial condition is zero.

The assumption that the initial condition and force have gradient form considerably simplifies analysis of the Burgers equation. It is well known that in this case one can apply the Hopf-Cole transformation

([28], [13])) to reduce the multidimensional Burgers equation either to the heat equation or to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, see for example [19]. The number of works on the Hopf-Cole transformation is huge and we will not try to list them all here. We only mention Dermoune [18], where the Hopf-Cole transformation is used to show the existence of solution to the stochastic multidimensional Burgers equation with additive noise. Khanin et al [24] proved the existence of the so called quasi stationary solution by the Hopf-Cole transformation and Stochastic Lax formula, thus partially extending to many dimensions an important paper [39] by Sinai. This approach however has certain intrinsic problems. In particular, it seems difficult to find an a priori estimate for the solution without additional assumptions on the initial condition as in Dermoune [18] p. 303, Theorem 4.2. Hence, it is difficult to characterize functional spaces in which solution lies or to characterize quasi stationary solution, see Definition 1 in [24].

In this paper we consider multidimensional Burgers equation (1.1) in $L^p(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $p > d$, in the domain $\mathcal{O}$ being either a torus $\mathbb{T}^d$ or the full space $\mathbb{R}^d$. In both cases we prove, in Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 respectively, the existence and uniqueness of solutions for every initial condition $u_0 \in L^p(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and establish a priori estimates. In particular, Theorem 4.3 holds in the case $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi = 0$ thus improving our previous results from [23]. In the case of $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$ however, the a priori estimates are nonuniform with respect to ν . Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 extend all aforementioned results on the existence and uniqueness of solutions to (1.1) to the stochastic case.

In Theorem 4.6 we provide a general sufficient condition under which uniform with respect to ν estimates can be derived on $\mathbb{R}^d$ as well. It is interesting to note that this condition can be viewed as a modification and an extension to the stochastic case of the famous Beale-Kato-Majda condition assuring the existence of global solutions to the deterministic Navier-Stokes equation.

Finally, we apply our results to the gradient case. It is easy to see that in the gradient case the Beale-Kato-Majda condition holds and therefore the existence and uniqueness of global solutions follows from our general results. Moreover, we obtain the estimates uniform in ν on the torus and on the whole space and as a consequence we show that there exists a vanishing viscosity limit for equation (1.1) for every $u_0 \in L^p(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^d)$.

In our proofs we extend the approach of [23], where the deterministic case $\xi = 0$ was studied. We start with a proof of the local existence and uniqueness of mild solutions in $L^p(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $p \geq d$, following the argument of Weissler [33]. Then we find a priori estimates using the Maximum Principle and then show that the local solution is in fact global. We note here that this method was applied earlier to the deterministic Burgers equation by Kiselev, Ladyzhenskaya [31].

Acknowledgement We would like to thank Y. Sinai for pointing out reference [31].

2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM AND SOME AUXILIARY FACTS

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be either $\mathbb{T}^d$ or $\mathbb{R}^d$. In both cases we will use the same notation Δ for the generator of the heat semigroup (S_t) in $\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}) := L^p(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$. Let us recall that

$$\text{dom}_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}(\Delta) = H^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{if } \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$$

and

$$\text{dom}_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}(\Delta) = H_{per}^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{if } \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{T}^d.$$

We will use the standard notation $\mathbb{H}^{n,p}(\mathcal{O}) = H^{n,p}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ for the Sobolev spaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued functions with the norm

$$|f|_{n,p} = |(I - \Delta)^{\frac{n}{2}} f|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}.$$

The dual space of $\mathbb{H}^{n,p}(\mathcal{O})$ will be denoted by $\mathbb{H}^{-n,q}(\mathcal{O})$ with $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with the filtration satisfying the usual conditions. We will denote by $M^p([0, T], \mathbb{H}^{n,p}(\mathcal{O}))$ the space of $\mathbb{H}^{n,p}(\mathcal{O})$ -valued progressively measurable processes endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{T,n,p} = \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|u(s, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{n,p}(\mathcal{O})}^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Let $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard cylindrical Wiener process on separable Hilbert space H defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$. Let us recall that for $p \geq 2$ the space $\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$ is an M -type 2 Banach space and therefore the stochastic integration theory is developed [6] can be applied in this space. In order to give a meaning to equation (1.1) we will consider first its linearized version

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = \nu \Delta z + f + \xi, & t > 0, x \in \mathcal{O}, \\ z(0, x) = 0, & x \in \mathcal{O}. \end{cases}$$

that will be understood as a stochastic evolution equation in the space $\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$:

$$(2.2) \quad dz = (\nu \Delta z + f) dt + g dW_t, \quad z(0) = 0.$$

To define solution to equation (2.2), let us recall that for a Banach space X and separable Hilbert space H , we denote by $\gamma(H, X)$ the Banach space of γ -radonifying operators from H to X (see definition 3.7 of [29]). If $g \in M^p([0, T]; \gamma(H, \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})))$ and $f \in M^p([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^{-1,p}(\mathcal{O}))$ then solution to (2.2) is given by the formula

$$z(t) = \int_0^t S_{t-s}^\nu f(s) ds + \int_0^t S_{t-s}^\nu g(s) dW(s).$$

The regularity properties of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process were studied in a vast number of articles, see for instance [6] and references therein. The following theorem has been proved by Brzezniak [6] (Corollary 3.5) and Krylov [32] (Theorem 4.10 (i) and Theorem 7.2(i), chapter 5) for the case of whole space. The case of torus can be proved similarly.

Theorem 2.1. Assume $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in M^p([0, T], \mathbb{H}^{n-1,p}(\mathcal{O}))$, $g \in M^p([0, T], \gamma(H, \mathbb{H}^{n,p}(\mathcal{O})))$, $p > 2$, $\frac{1}{2} > \beta > \alpha > \frac{1}{p}$. Then equation (2.2) has unique solution $z \in C^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}([0, T], \mathbb{H}^{n+1-2\beta,p}(\mathcal{O}))$ a.s..

For $\phi \in \mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})$ we define a function

$$F(v) = (v \nabla) v.$$

Definition 2.2. Assume that $u_0 \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$, $f \in M^p([0, T], \mathbb{H}^{-1,p}(\mathcal{O}))$, $g \in M^p([0, T], \gamma(H, \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})))$. A progressively measurable $\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$ -valued continuous process u defined on $[0, T]$ is said to be a mild solution of the stochastic Burgers equation with the initial condition u_0 if $F(u(\cdot)) \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))$ a.s., $u = v + z$ where $z : \Omega \rightarrow L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))$ satisfies equation (2.2) and v satisfies equality

$$(2.3) \quad v(t) = S_t^\nu u_0 + \int_0^t S_{t-s}^\nu (F(v(s) + z(s))) ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Remark 2.3. We believe it is possible to define a weak solution to Burgers equation as in definition 8.5, p. 184 of [9]. Then it should be possible to prove that sufficiently regular process u is a weak

solution iff it is a mild solution, i.e. solves

$$(2.4) \quad u(t) = S_t^\nu u_0 + \int_0^t S_{t-s}^\nu (F(u(s))) ds + z(s), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where z satisfies equation (2.2). In our paper we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (2.4). This is done via a substitution

$$(2.5) \quad u = v + z.$$

For a process of the form (2.5) we can prove that it is a mild solution iff it is a strong solution according to the following definition.

Definition 2.4. Assume that u_0, f, g satisfy the same assumptions as in the definition 2.2. We call progressively measurable process $u : \Omega \rightarrow L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))$ a strong solution of stochastic Burgers equation with the initial condition u_0 iff $F(u) \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))$ a.s. and $u = v + z$ where $z : \Omega \rightarrow L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))$ satisfies equation (2.2) and $v \in C^1((0, T]; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))$ satisfies equality

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t) = \nu \Delta v(t) + F(v(t) + z(t)), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

$$(2.7) \quad v(0) = u_0.$$

Remark 2.5. It is possible to define in a similar fashion strong and mild solution of stochastic Burgers equation without referring to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process z . However, the definition given above has certain merit since it allows to transfer all noise effects to the process z and consider PDE with random coefficients instead of SPDE.

3. THE EXISTENCE OF A LOCAL SOLUTION TO THE STOCHASTIC BURGERS EQUATION

Theorem 2.1 allow us to work pathwise i.e. we assume that some version of z of specified regularity is fixed.

Local existence of solution of Burgers equation in $\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$ can be shown in the same way as for Navier-Stokes equation (see [22], [26], [30], [33], [34] and others). Here we only state main points of the proof following the work of Weissler [33].

We will use following version of abstract theorem proved in [33], p. 222, Theorem 2, see also [26] and [30].

Theorem 3.1. Let W, X, Y, Z be Banach spaces continuously embedded in some topological vector space $\mathcal{X}$. $R_t = e^{tA}$, $t \geq 0$ be C_0 -semigroup on X , which satisfies the following additional conditions

(a1) For each $t > 0$, R_t extends to a bounded map $W \rightarrow X$. For some $a > 0$ there are positive constants C and T such that

$$(3.1) \quad |R_t h|_X \leq C t^{-a} |h|_W, \quad h \in W, \quad t \in (0, T].$$

(a2) For each $t > 0$, R_t is a bounded map $X \rightarrow Y$. For some $b > 0$ there are positive constants C and T such that

$$(3.2) \quad |R_t h|_Y \leq C t^{-b} |h|_X, \quad h \in X, \quad t \in (0, T].$$

Furthermore, function $|R_t h|_Y \in C((0, T]), h \in X$ and

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^b |R_t h|_Y = 0, \quad \forall h \in X.$$

(a3) For each $t > 0$, R_t is a bounded map $X \rightarrow Z$. For some $c > 0$ there are positive constants C and T such that

$$(3.4) \quad |R_t h|_Z \leq C t^{-c} |h|_X, h \in X, t \in (0, T].$$

Furthermore, function $|R_t h|_Z \in C((0, T])$, $h \in X$ and

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^c |R_t h|_Z = 0, \forall h \in X.$$

Let also $G : Y \times Z \rightarrow W$ be a bounded bilinear map, $L \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{L}(Y \cap Z, W))$, and let $G(u) = G(u, u)$, $u \in Y \cap Z$, $f \in L^\infty(0, T; W)$. Assume also that $a + b + c \leq 1$.

Then for each $u_0 \in X$ there is $T > 0$ and unique function $u : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ such that:

- (a) $u \in C([0, T], X)$, $u(0) = u_0$.
- (b) $u \in C((0, T], Y)$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^b |u(t)|_Y = 0$.
- (c) $u \in C((0, T], Z)$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^c |u(t)|_Z = 0$.
- (d)

$$u(t) = R_t u_0 + \int_0^t R_{t-\tau} (G(u(\tau)) + L(u(\tau)) + f(\tau)) d\tau, t \in [0, T]$$

Remark 3.2. Weissler [33] considers only the case of $L = f = 0$. The general case follows similarly (see also [23]).

In the next proposition we will summarize properties of the heat semigroup on $\mathcal{O}$.

Proposition 3.3. Assume that either $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{T}^d$ or $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$ and Δ is a corresponding periodic (respectively free) Laplacian with domain of definition $\text{dom}_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}(\Delta)$. Then

(i)

$$(3.6) \quad |\nabla^m e^{t\Delta} h|_{\mathbb{L}^q(\mathcal{O})} \leq c t^{-\frac{m}{2} - \frac{d}{2r}} |h|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}, t \in (0, T],$$

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}, \quad 1 < p \leq q < \infty, \quad h \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}).$$

Furthermore,

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{\frac{m}{2} + \frac{d}{2r}} |\nabla^m e^{t\Delta} h|_{\mathbb{L}^q(\mathcal{O})} = 0, \quad h \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}).$$

(ii) Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then for any $t > 0$, $e^{t\Delta} : \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})$ is a bounded map. Moreover, for each $T > 0$ there exists $C = C(p, T)$, such that

$$(3.8) \quad |e^{t\Delta} h|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})} \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}} |h|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}, t \in (0, T], h \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}).$$

Furthermore,

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{\frac{1}{2}} |e^{t\Delta} h|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})} = 0, \quad h \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}).$$

Proof. See for example books by Lunardi [37] or by Quittner, Souplet [38]. □

Now we can formulate the following results about the existence and uniqueness of a local mild solution of the auxiliary deterministic problem.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $p \geq d$. Then for all $u_0 \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$, $z \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O}))$, there exists $T_0 = T_0(\nu, |u_0|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}, |z|_{L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O}))}) > 0$ such that there exists unique mild solution $u \in L^\infty(0, T_0; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))$ of equation (2.3). Furthermore

- (a) $u : (0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O})$ is continuous and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{d}{4p}} |u(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O})} = 0$.
- (b) $u : (0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})$ is continuous and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{1}{2}} |u(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})} = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. We apply Theorem 3.1 to equation (2.3) with $X = \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$, $Y = \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O})$, $Z = \mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})$, $W = \mathbb{L}^{\frac{2p}{3}}(\mathcal{O})$, $R = e^{\cdot \Delta}$, $L = F(z, \cdot) + F(\cdot, z)$, $f = F(z, z)$. It follows from the Hölder inequality that $F : \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{\frac{2p}{3}}(\mathcal{O})$ is a bounded bilinear map. Hence the function f satisfies the assumption of Theorem (3.1), i.e. $f \in L^\infty(0, T; W)$. Condition (3.1) is satisfied with $a = \frac{d}{4p}$ by estimate (3.6). Conditions (3.2), (3.3) are satisfied with $b = \frac{d}{4p}$ by (3.6) and (3.7). Conditions (3.4), (3.5) are satisfied with $c = \frac{1}{2}$ by (3.8) and (3.9). $\square$

Corollary 3.5. *Let $p \geq d$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $u_0 \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$, $z \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{1,2p}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O}))$, $z \in C^\theta((0, T], \mathbb{H}^{1,2p}(\mathcal{O}))$. Then $v \in C^1((0, T]; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})) \cap C((0, T]; \mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathcal{O})) \cap C_{loc}^\theta((0, T], \mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathcal{O})) \cap C_{loc}^{1+\theta}((0, T], \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))$ and v is a solution to the system*

$$(3.10) \quad v' = \nu \Delta v - F(v + z).$$

Proof of Corollary 3.5. Let us show that there exist T_1 such that $v \in C((0, T_1], \mathbb{H}^{1,2p}(\mathcal{O}))$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{1}{2}} |u(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,2p}(\mathcal{O})} = 0$. We apply Theorem 3.1 with following data $X = Y = \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$, $Z = \mathbb{H}^{1,2p}(\mathcal{O})$, $W = \mathbb{L}^{\frac{2p}{3}}(\mathcal{O})$. Then it follows from Hölder inequality that $F : \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{H}^{1,2p}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{\frac{2p}{3}}(\mathcal{O})$ is a bounded bilinear map. Conditions (3.1) is satisfied with $a = \frac{d}{4p}$ by estimate (3.6). Conditions (3.2), (3.3) are satisfied with arbitrary $b > 0$ because heat semigroup is analytic on $\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$. Conditions (3.4), (3.5) are satisfied with $c = \frac{1}{2}$ by (3.8) and (3.9).

As a result by part (c) of the Theorem 3.1 we get existence of T_1 such that $v \in C((0, T_1], \mathbb{H}^{1,2p}(\mathcal{O}))$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\frac{1}{2}} |v(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,2p}(\mathcal{O})} = 0$. Put $T_2 = \min\{T, T_1\}$. Therefore, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |F(v + z)|_{L^1(0, T_2; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))} &\leq \int_0^{T_2} |v(s) + z(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O})} |\nabla(v + z)|_{\mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O})} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^{T_2} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{d}{4p} + \frac{1}{2}}} \sup_s (s^{\frac{d}{4p}} |v(s) + z(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^{2p}}) \sup_s (s^{\frac{1}{2}} |v(s) + z(s)|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,2p}}) ds \\ &\leq \sup_s (s^{\frac{d}{4p}} |v(s) + z(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^{2p}}) \sup_s (s^{\frac{1}{2}} |v(s) + z(s)|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,2p}}) T_2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{4p}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the function $F(v(\cdot) + z(\cdot)) : [\varepsilon, T_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$ is Hölder continuous of order $\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{4p}\right)$. Then the result will follow from Theorem 4.3.4, p. 137 in [37] and inequality (3.11). Since $F : \mathbb{H}^{1,2p}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$ is locally Lipschitz it is easy to notice that it is enough to prove that $v : [\varepsilon, T_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{1,2p}(\mathcal{O})$ is Hölder continuous for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Since we have the representation

$$(3.12) \quad v(t) = S_{t-\varepsilon} v(\varepsilon) - \int_{\varepsilon}^t S_{t-s} (F(v(s) + z(s))) ds, \quad t \in [\varepsilon, T_2],$$

it is enough to show that each term of this representation is Hölder continuous. Similarly to (3.11) we have

$$(3.13) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T_2]} t^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{d}{4p}} |F(v(t) + z(t))|_{\mathbb{L}^p} \leq \sup_s s^{\frac{d}{4p}} |v(s) + z(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^{2p}} \sup_s s^{\frac{1}{2}} |v(s) + z(s)|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,2p}} < \infty$$

and it follows by Proposition 4.2.3 part (i), p.130 of [37] that $\int_0^t S_{t-s} F(v(s) + z(s)) ds \in C^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{4p}}(0, T_2; L^p)$. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that assumptions of Corollary 3.5 are satisfied. Assume also that $z \in C^\theta((0, T], \mathbb{H}^{k+1, 2p}(\mathcal{O}))$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $v \in C^\theta((0, T], \mathbb{H}^{k+2, p}(\mathcal{O})) \cap C^{1+\theta}((0, T], \mathbb{H}^{k, p}(\mathcal{O}))$.*

Proof. We will show the result for $k = 1$. General case follows similarly. We fix some $\varepsilon > 0$. We have by the Theorem 3.4, part (a) that $v(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O})$. As a result, by means of the corollary 3.5 we infer that

$$(3.14) \quad v \in C^\theta([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{H}^{2, 2p}(\mathcal{O})) \cap C^{1+\theta}([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O})).$$

Hence,

$$(3.15) \quad v + z \in C^\theta([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{H}^{2, 2p}(\mathcal{O}))$$

Therefore, we have following estimates for nonlinearity

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} |F(v + z)|_{C^\theta([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))} &\leq |v + z|_{L^\infty(\varepsilon, T; \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}))} |\nabla(v + z)|_{C^\theta([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}))} \\ &+ |\nabla(v + z)|_{L^\infty(\varepsilon, T; \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}))} |v + z|_{C^\theta([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}))} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.15). Furthermore,

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} |\nabla F(v + z)|_{C^\theta([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))} &\leq C |\nabla(v + z)|_{L^\infty(\varepsilon, T; \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}))} |\nabla(v + z)|_{C^\theta([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}))} \\ &+ |v + z|_{L^\infty(\varepsilon, T; \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}))} |\Delta(v + z)|_{C^\theta([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}))} \\ &+ |\Delta(v + z)|_{L^\infty(\varepsilon, T; \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}))} |v + z|_{C^\theta([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{L}^{2p}(\mathcal{O}))} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.15). Thus, combining inequalities (3.16) and (3.17) we get $F(v + z) \in C^\theta([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{H}^{1, p}(\mathcal{O}))$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$. Therefore by a maximal regularity result, see Theorem 4.3.1, p. 134 of [37], it follows that $v \in C^\theta([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{H}^{3, p}(\mathcal{O})) \cap C^{1+\theta}([\varepsilon, T], \mathbb{H}^{1, p}(\mathcal{O}))$. $\square$

In the next lemma we will show that either local solution defined in previous theorems is global or it blows up. Let us denote by T_{max} maximal existence time of solution.

Lemma 3.7. *Assume that $u_0 \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$, $z \in L^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{H}^{1, 2p}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathbb{H}^{1, p}(\mathcal{O}))$, $z \in C^\theta(0, T; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))$, $p > d$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $T_{max} < T$. Let $u \in C([0, T_{max}]; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))$ be a maximal local mild solution to the Burgers equation (2.3). Then*

$$(3.18) \quad \limsup_{t \nearrow T_{max}} |u(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}^2 = \infty.$$

Question 3.8. Can the Lemma 3.7 be strengthened to show $\lim$ instead of $\limsup$ in the equality (3.18)?

Question 3.9. It would be interesting to extend the Lemma 3.7 to the case when $p = d$.

Proof of Lemma 3.7. We will argue by contradiction. Assume that there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$(3.19) \quad |u(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}^2 \leq R, \quad t \in (0, T_{max}).$$

Let us denote

$$(3.20) \quad K_1 = \sup_{t \in [0, T_{max})} |u(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} < \infty.$$

Since $z \in L^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O}))$ we have the similar bound for $v = u - z$:

$$(3.21) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T_{max})} |v(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} < K'_1 = K_1 + |z|_{L^\infty([0, T_{max}], \mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O}))}.$$

Let us fix $\varepsilon \in (0, T_{max})$. We will show that there exist $C, \alpha > 0$ such that

$$(3.22) \quad |u(t) - u(\tau)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} \leq C|t - \tau|^\alpha, \quad t, \tau \in [\varepsilon, T_{max}).$$

Then it follows from inequalities (3.19) and (3.22) that there exist $y \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})$ such that

$$(3.23) \quad \lim_{t \nearrow T_{max}} |u(t) - y|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} = 0,$$

and we have a contradiction with the definition of T_{max} . Thus, we need to show the inequality (3.22).

We will first show that

$$(3.24) \quad K_2 = \sup_{t \in [\varepsilon, T_{max})} |u(t)|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})} < \infty.$$

It is enough to show

$$(3.25) \quad \sup_{t \in [\varepsilon, T_{max})} |\nabla v(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} < \infty.$$

Indeed, the inequality (3.24) immediately follows from inequalities (3.21), (3.25) and the regularity of z . We have

$$(3.26) \quad \nabla v(t) = \nabla S_{t-\varepsilon} v(\varepsilon) - \int_{\varepsilon}^t \nabla S_{t-s} (F(v(s) + z(s))) ds.$$

Hence, for $t \in (\varepsilon, T_{max})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla v(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} &\leq |\nabla S_{t-\varepsilon} v(\varepsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} + \int_{\varepsilon}^t |\nabla S_{t-s} F(v(s) + z(s))|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} ds \\ &\leq \frac{C|v(\varepsilon)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}}{(t-\varepsilon)^{1/2}} + C \int_{\varepsilon}^t \frac{|S_{(t-s)/2} F(v(s) + z(s))|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}}{|t-s|^{1/2}} ds \\ &\leq \frac{C|u_0|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}}{t^{1/2}} + C \int_{\varepsilon}^t \frac{|F(v(s) + z(s))|_{\mathbb{L}^{p/2}(\mathcal{O})}}{|t-s|^{1/2+d/(2p)}} ds \\ &\leq \frac{C|u_0|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}}{t^{1/2}} + C \int_{\varepsilon}^t \frac{|v(s) + z(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}}{|t-s|^{1/2+d/(2p)}} |\nabla v(s) + \nabla z(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} ds \\ (3.27) \quad &\leq \frac{C|u_0|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}}{t^{1/2}} + C(K'_1)^2 T + CK'_1 \int_{\varepsilon}^t \frac{|\nabla v(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}}{|t-s|^{1/2+d/(2p)}} ds < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the second and third inequalities follow from the property (3.6) of the heat semigroup, fourth inequality follows from the Hölder inequality, assumption (3.20) is used in the fifth one and the last inequality follows from (c), Theorem 3.4. Now if $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{d}{2p} < 1$ (i.e. if $p > d$) we can use a version of the Gronwall inequality ([27], Lemma 7.1.1, p. 188) to conclude that estimate (3.25) holds. Thus we get an estimate (3.24).

Now we can turn to the proof of uniform continuity condition (3.22). Since $u = v + z$ and $z \in C^\theta(0, T; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))$ it is enough to show (3.22) with v instead of u . We have

$$(3.28) \quad v(t) - v(\tau) = S_{t-\tau}v(\tau) - v(\tau) - \int_{\tau}^t S_{t-s}(F(v(s) + z(s))) ds.$$

Then

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} |v(t) - v(\tau)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} &\leq |S_{t-\tau}v(\tau) - v(\tau)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} \\ &+ \left| \int_{\tau}^t S_{t-s}F(v(s) + z(s)) ds \right|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} = (I) + (II). \end{aligned}$$

The first term can be estimated as follows, where the sup is taken over the set $\{\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O}) : |\phi|_{\mathbb{L}^q(\mathcal{O})} = 1\}$,

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} (I) &= \sup |\langle S_{t-\tau}v(\tau) - v(\tau), \phi \rangle| = \sup |\langle v(\tau), S_{t-\tau}\phi - \phi \rangle| \\ &= \sup |\langle v(\tau), \nu \int_{\tau}^t \Delta S_{s-\tau}\phi ds \rangle| = \nu \sup |\langle \nabla v(\tau), \int_{\tau}^t \nabla S_{s-\tau}\phi ds \rangle| \\ &\leq \nu \sup |\nabla v(\tau)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} \int_{\tau}^t |\nabla S_{s-\tau}\phi|_{\mathbb{L}^q(\mathcal{O})} ds \\ &\leq \nu \sup |\nabla v(\tau)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} C \int_{\tau}^t \frac{|\phi|_{\mathbb{L}^q(\mathcal{O})}}{|s - \tau|^{1/2}} ds \leq \nu CK_2 |t - \tau|^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term, by using the property (3.6) of heat semigroup and the Hölder inequality we have

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} (II) &\leq \int_{\tau}^t \frac{|F(v(s) + z(s))|_{\mathbb{L}^{p/2}(\mathcal{O})}}{|t - s|^{\frac{d}{2p}}} ds \leq \int_{\tau}^t \frac{|u(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})} |\nabla u(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}}{|t - s|^{\frac{d}{2p}}} ds \\ &\leq CK_2^2 |t - \tau|^{1 - \frac{d}{2p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the last inequality follows from estimate (3.24).

Combining inequalities (3.30) and (3.31) we get (3.22). $\square$

4. THE EXISTENCE OF A GLOBAL SOLUTION TO STOCHASTIC BURGERS EQUATION

In this section we continue to work pathwise. We will now state the global existence result for the case of torus.

Theorem 4.1. Fix $p > d$. Assume that $u_0 \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ a.s., $f \in M^{2p}([0, T], \mathbb{H}^{3,2p}(\mathbb{T}^d))$, and $g \in M^{2p}([0, T], \gamma(H, \mathbb{H}^{4,2p}(\mathbb{T}^d)))$. Then there exists a unique strong global $\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ -valued solution u of the Burgers equation. Moreover,

$$(4.1) \quad |u(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}^p \leq C(|u_0|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{T}^d)}^p + |z|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}^d))}^2) e^{|\nabla z|_{L^1(0,T;\mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d))}}, t \in [0, T].$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Suppose $T_{max} < T$. Let $v = u - z$. It is enough to find an estimate for v in $\mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$ norm. Then the estimate of norm of v in $\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ will immediately follow. Therefore, it is enough to prove that for any fixed $0 < \delta < T_{max}$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad |v|_{L^\infty((0,T_{max};\mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)))} \leq (|v(\delta)|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)} + |z|_{L^\infty(0,T_{max};\mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}^d))}^2) e^{T_{max} + |\nabla z|_{L^1(0,T_{max};\mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d))}}.$$

to prove (4.2) we note first that the local solution v satisfies the equation

$$v' = \nu \Delta v - (v + z) \nabla v - v \nabla z - (z \nabla) z.$$

Let $\phi(t) = v e^{-\int_0^t (1 + |\nabla z|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}) ds} - |z|_{L^\infty(0,T_{max};\mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}^d))}^2, t \in [0, T_{max})$. Then

$$\phi' = \nu \Delta \phi - (v + z) \nabla \phi + (\phi + |z|_{L^\infty(0,T_{max};\mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}^d))}^2) (-\nabla z - |\nabla z|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} - 1) - (z \nabla) z e^{-\int_0^t (1 + |\nabla z|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}) ds}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu \Delta \phi - (v + z) \nabla \phi + \phi (-\nabla z - |\nabla z|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} - 1) - \phi' \\ &= |z|_{L^\infty(0,T_{max};\mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathbb{T}^d))}^2 (\nabla z + |\nabla z|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + 1) + (z \nabla) z e^{-\int_0^t (1 + |\nabla z|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}) ds} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now (4.2) follows from the Maximum Principle (Theorem 7, p. 174, [20]). $\square$

Remark 4.2. We remark that in the a priori estimate above we can take the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$ under appropriate assumptions for the noise.

Now we will formulate similar results for the whole space. In this case we do not have an embedding $\mathbb{L}^\infty \subset \mathbb{L}^p$. Hence estimate in $\mathbb{L}^\infty$ does not imply estimate in $\mathbb{L}^p$. Nevertheless it is possible to get the following global existence result.

Theorem 4.3. Fix $p > d$. Assume that $u_0 \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ a.s., $f \in M^{2p}([0, T], \mathbb{H}^{3,2p}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $g \in M^{2p}([0, T], \gamma(H, \mathbb{H}^{4,2p}(\mathbb{R}^d)))$. Then there exists a unique strong global $\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued solution u of Burgers equation and we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |u(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p &\leq (|u_0|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p + |F(z)|_{L^1(0,t;\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d))}) \exp \left\{ (p+1)t |z|_{L^\infty(0,t;\mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d))} + (p-1)t \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2t}{\nu p} (C|u_0|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{2p} + |z|_{L^\infty(0,t;\mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d))}^4) e^{2t|z|_{L^\infty(0,t;\mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d))}} \right\}, t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.4. We note that we cannot take the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$ in the a priori estimate above.

Proof. Suppose $T_{max} < T$. Let $v = u - z$. Then v satisfies system (3.10). Let us multiply i -th equation of system (3.10) on $v^i |v^i|^{p-2}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, take a sum w.r.t. i and integrate w.r.t. to time

and space variable. We get for $t \geq t_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |v(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p + 2\nu \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_i |v|^{p-2}(s, x) |\nabla v^i(s, x)|^2 dx ds \\
& + (p-2)\nu \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_i |v|^{p-4}(s, x) |(v^i(s, x), \nabla v^i(s, x))|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq |v(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \nu p \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_i |v|^{p-2}(s, x) |\nabla v^i(s, x)|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq |v(t_0)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |F(z)|^p dx ds + (p-1) \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^p dx ds \\
& + p \int_{t_0}^t |\nabla z|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^p dx ds + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^p \operatorname{div}(v+z) dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The last term in the inequality (4.4) can be estimated from above as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^p \operatorname{div}(v+z) dx ds \leq \int_{t_0}^t |\operatorname{div} z|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} |v(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p ds \\
& + \int_{t_0}^t |v|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty([t_0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^{p-1} |\operatorname{div} v| dx ds \\
& = \int_{t_0}^t |\operatorname{div} z|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} |v(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p ds + |v|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty([t_0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^{\frac{p}{2}} |v|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} |\operatorname{div} v| dx ds \\
& \leq \int_{t_0}^t |\operatorname{div} z|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} |v(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p ds + |v|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty([t_0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \\
& \left(\frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^p dx ds + \varepsilon \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^{p-2} |\operatorname{div} v|^2 dx ds \right), \quad \varepsilon > 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Young inequality. We can estimate $\mathbb{L}^\infty$ norm of v by Feynman-Kac formula in the same way as in the torus case above i.e. we have

$$|v|_{L^\infty([\delta, t]; \mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq (|v(\delta)|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + |z|_{L^\infty(0, t; \mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2) e^{|\nabla z|_{L^1(0, t; \mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}}, \tag{4.6}$$

for any fixed $0 < \delta \leq t < T_{max}$. For $t \geq t_0 > 0$ denote

$$Q(t_0, t) = (|v(t_0)|_{\mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + |z|_{L^\infty(0, t; \mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2) e^{|\nabla z|_{L^1(0, t; \mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))}}.$$

Combining inequalities (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |v(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \nu p \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^{p-2}(s, x) |\nabla v(s, x)|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq |v(t_0)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |F(z)|^p dx ds + (p-1) \int_{t_0}^t |v(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p ds \\
& + (p+1) \int_{t_0}^t |\nabla z(s)|_{L^\infty} |v(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p ds + \varepsilon Q(t_0, t) \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^{p-2} |\operatorname{div} v|^2 dx ds \\
& + \frac{Q(t_0, t)}{4\varepsilon} \int_{t_0}^t |v(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p ds, \quad \varepsilon > 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.7}$$

Put $\varepsilon = \frac{\nu p}{2Q(t_0, t)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& |v(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \frac{\nu p}{2} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^{p-2}(s, x) |\nabla v(s, x)|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq |v(t_0)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |F(z)|^p dx ds \\
& + \int_{t_0}^t \left((p+1) |\nabla z(s)|_{L^\infty} + (p-1) + \frac{Q(t_0, t)^2}{2\nu p} \right) |v(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p ds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.8}$$

Now we apply the Gronwall Lemma to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |v(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \frac{\nu p}{2} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^{p-2}(s, x) |\nabla v(s, x)|^2 dx ds \\
& \leq \left(|v(t_0)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |F(z)|^p dx ds \right) \\
& \cdot \exp \left\{ (p+1) |\nabla z|_{L^1([t_0, t], \mathbb{L}^\infty)} + (p-1)t + \frac{tQ(t_0, t)^2}{2\nu p} \right\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.9}$$

Taking the limit $t \rightarrow T_{max}$ and $t_0 \rightarrow 0$ we get contradiction. $\square$

In the next Theorem we will show that if a Beale-Kato-Majda type condition is satisfied i.e. vorticity is bounded then then a priori estimate holds uniformly in $\nu \geq 0$.

Theorem 4.5. Fix $p > d$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Assume that $u_0 \in \mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $f \in M^{2p}([0, T], \mathbb{H}^{3, 2p}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $g \in M^{2p}([0, T], \gamma(H, \mathbb{H}^{4, 2p}(\mathbb{R}^d)))$. Let $u \in L^\infty([0, T_{max}]; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$ be a strong maximal local solution of Burgers equation. Assume also that a.s.

$$\operatorname{curl} u \in L^\infty(0, T_{max}; \mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)),
\tag{4.10}$$

and there exists $t_0 \in (0, T)$ such that

$$(4.11) \quad \operatorname{div} u(t_0, \cdot) \in \mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then $T_{max} = T$ and we have a.s.

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} |u(t)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p &\leq C(|u_0|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}^p + |F(z)|_{L^1(0,t;\mathbb{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d))}) \\ &\quad \exp \left\{ C(t - t_0)(|\operatorname{div} u(t_0, \cdot)|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} + |\operatorname{curl} u|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty((0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |z|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{H}^{3,p}(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2(1 + |u_0|_{L^p} + |z|_{\mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2))e^{t|z|_{\mathbb{H}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof follows the lines of Theorem 2.2 in [23] and is omitted. $\square$

Remark 4.6. It is possible to construct random dynamical system corresponding to the solution of stochastic Burgers equation following the argument of the first name auhour and Yuhong Li [8].

5. GRADIENT CASE

In this section we will consider a particular case when the initial condition and force are potential.

Corollary 5.1. Fix $p > d$. Assume that $\psi_0 \in H^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})$ a.s., $U \in M^{2p}([0, T], H^{4,2p}(\mathcal{O}))$, $V \in M^{2p}([0, T], \gamma(H, H^{5,2p}(\mathcal{O})))$. Then there exists unique global solution $u \in C(0, T; \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O}))$ a.s. of equation

$$\begin{cases} du + (u \nabla) u dt = (\nu \Delta u + \nabla U) dt + \nabla V dw_t \\ u(0) = \nabla \psi_0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if ψ_0, U, V are non random then for $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{T}^d$ we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |u(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}^p \leq C(|\psi_0|_{H^{1,p}}, |U|_{L^1([0, t], H^{2,p})}, |V|_{L^2([0, t], \gamma(H, H^{3,p}))}),$$

and for $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\mathbb{E} \log(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |u(s)|_{\mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{O})}^p) \leq C(|\psi_0|_{H^{1,p}}, |U|_{L^1([0, t], H^{2,p})}, |V|_{L^2([0, t], \gamma(H, H^{3,p}))}),$$

Proof. The first part follows immediately from Corollary 4.1 and Theorem 4.5. The second part follows from estimates (4.1), (4.12) and Fernique Theorem. Indeed, if U, V are non random then Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process z has gaussian distribution in $L^2([0, T], \mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})) \subset L^1([0, T], \mathbb{L}^\infty(\mathcal{O}))$. $\square$

Consequently, since u is a gradient of a certain function provided the initial condition and the force are gradients we can deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. Fix $p > d$ and $\nu > 0$. Assume that $\psi_0 \in H^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})$ a.s., $U \in M^{2p}([0, T], H^{4,2p}(\mathcal{O}))$, $V \in M^{2p}([0, T], \gamma(H, H^{5,2p}(\mathcal{O})))$. Then there exists unique global solution $\psi^\nu \in C(0, T; H^{1,p}(\mathcal{O}))$ a.s. of the equation

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} d\psi^\nu + |\nabla \psi^\nu|^2 dt = (\nu \Delta \psi^\nu + U) dt + V dW_t \\ \psi^\nu(0) = \psi_0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if ψ_0, U, V are non random then for $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{T}^d$ we have

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\psi^\nu(s)|_{H^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})}^p \leq C(|\psi_0|_{H^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})}, |U|_{L^1([0, t], H^{2,p}(\mathcal{O}))}, |V|_{L^2([0, t], \gamma(H, H^{2,p}(\mathcal{O})))}), \quad t \geq 0.$$

and for $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbb{E} \log(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\psi^\nu(s)|_{H^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})}^p) \leq C(|\psi_0|_{H^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})}, |U|_{L^1([0, t], H^{2,p}(\mathcal{O}))}, |V|_{L^2([0, t], \gamma(H, H^{2,p}(\mathcal{O})))}), t \geq 0.$$

We can notice that the estimates (5.2), (5.3) are uniform w.r.t. ν . This leads us to the following Corollary.

Corollary 5.3. Fix $p > d$. Assume that $\psi_0 \in H^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})$ a.s., $U \in L^1([0, T], H^{2,p}(\mathcal{O}))$, $V \in L^p(0, T; \gamma(H, H^{2,p}(\mathcal{O})))$. Then there exists unique global viscosity solution $\psi \in C(0, T; H^{1,p}(\mathcal{O}))$ of the equation

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{cases} d\psi + |\nabla \psi|^2 dt = U dt + V dW_t \\ \psi(0) = \psi_0. \end{cases}$$

and for $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{T}^d$ we have

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\psi(s)|_{H^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})}^p \leq C(|\psi_0|_{H^{1,p}}, |U|_{L^1([0, t], H^{2,p})}, |V|_{L^2([0, t], \gamma(H, H^{2,p}))}), t \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, for $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$(5.6) \quad \mathbb{E} \log(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\psi(s)|_{H^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})}^p) \leq C(|\psi_0|_{H^{1,p}}, |U|_{L^1([0, t], H^{2,p})}, |V|_{L^2([0, t], \gamma(H, H^{2,p}))}), t \geq 0.$$

Remark 5.4. The Corollaries 5.2 and 5.3 are different from results of [19] because they consider viscosity solutions in the space of continuous functions while we consider solutions in $\mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})$, $p > d$.

Proof. Let $\{\psi^\nu\}_{\nu > 0} \in C(0, T; \mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O})) \cap C^{1,2}((0, T] \times \mathcal{O})$ be sequence of solutions of the equation (5.1). Since $\mathbb{H}^{1,p}(\mathcal{O}) \subset C(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $p > d$ and estimate (4.1) (corr. estimate (4.12) if $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$) we have uniform w.r.t. ν estimate $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.

$$(5.7) \quad |\psi^\nu|_{C(0, T; C(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}^d))}^p \leq K(T, \psi_0, h, d), T > 0, p > d.$$

Then according to Theorem 1.1, p. 175 in [2] we have that there exist uniformly bounded upper continuous subsolution $\psi^* = \limsup_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \psi^\nu$ $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. and uniformly bounded lower continuous supersolution

$\psi_* = \liminf_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \psi^\nu$ $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. of equation (5.4). Therefore, by comparison principle for viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations (see Theorem 2, p. 585 and Remark 3, p. 593 of [15]), $\psi^* \leq \psi_*$ and $\psi = \psi^* = \psi_*$. Thus, ψ^ν locally uniformly converges to unique viscosity solution ψ of equation (5.4) $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. Estimate (5.2) implies that ψ satisfies (5.5). □

REFERENCES

- [1] Bardi, M.; Crandall, M. G.; Evans, L. C.; Soner, H. M.; Souganidis, P. E. VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS AND APPLICATIONS, Lectures given at the 2nd C.I.M.E. Session held in Montecatini Terme, June 12–20, 1995. Edited by I. Capuzzo Dolcetta and P. L. Lions. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1660. Fondazione C.I.M.E.. [C.I.M.E. Foundation] Springer-Verlag, Berlin; Centro Internazionale Matematico Estivo (C.I.M.E.), Florence, 1997. x+259 pp.
- [2] Barles, G.; A new stability result for viscosity solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations with weak convergence in time. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 343 (2006), 173–178.
- [3] Bec J. and Khanin K: Burgers turbulence. Phys. Rep. 447 (2007), 166,
- [4] Ben-Naim E., Chen S.Y., Doolen G. D. and Redner S.: Shocklike dynamics of inelastic gases, Phys. Rev. Lett. E 54 (1996) 25642572.

- [5] Bertini, L., Cancrini, N. and Jona-Lasinio, G.: The stochastic Burgers equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 165 (1994), 211–232.
- [6] Brzeźniak, Z.: On stochastic convolution in Banach spaces and applications. *Stochastics* 61 (1997), 245–295.
- [7] Brzeźniak, Z. and Peszat, S.: Strong local and global solutions for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations. *Infinite dimensional stochastic analysis* (Amsterdam, 1999), 85–98, *Verh. Afd. Natuurkd. 1. Reeks. K. Ned. Akad. Wet.*, 52, R. Neth. Acad. Arts Sci., Amsterdam, 2000.
- [8] Brzeźniak, Z., Li, Y., Asymptotic compactness and absorbing sets for 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations on some unbounded domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 358 (2006), 5587–5629.
- [9] Brzeźniak, Z., Zabczyk, J., Regularity of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by a Lévy white noise. *Potential Anal.* 32 (2010), no. 2, 153–188.
- [10] Burgers, J. M.: Mathematical examples illustrating relations occurring in the theory of turbulent fluid motion. *Verh. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Afd. Natuurk. Sect. 1.* 17, (1939). no. 2, 53 pp
- [11] Ton, Bui An, Non-stationary Burgers flows with vanishing viscosity in bounded domains of R^3 . *Math. Z.* 145 (1975), 69–79.
- [12] Cardon-Weber, C., Large deviations for a Burgers’-type SPDE. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 84 (1999), 53–70.
- [13] Cole, J.D.; On a quasi-linear parabolic equation occurring in aerodynamics. *Quart. Appl. Math.* 9, (1951), 225–236.
- [14] Crandall, M. G.; Ishii, H and Lions, P.-L. User’s guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 27 (1992), 1–67.
- [15] Crandall, M. G.; Ishii, H.; Lions, P.-L. Uniqueness of viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations revisited. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 39 (1987), 581–596.
- [16] Da Prato, G.; Debussche, A.; Temam, R. Stochastic Burgers equation. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* 1 (1994), 389–402.
- [17] Da Prato, G.; Gatarek, D., Stochastic Burgers equation with correlated noise. *Stochastics* 52 (1995), 29–41.
- [18] Dermoune, A., Around the stochastic Burgers equation. *Stochastic Anal. Appl.* 15 (1997), 295–311.
- [19] Dirr, N.; Souganidis, Panagiotis E. Large-time behavior for viscous and nonviscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations forced by additive noise. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 37 (2005), 777–796
- [20] M. H. Protter, H. F. Weinberger, *MAXIMUM PRINCIPLES IN DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1967 x+261 pp.
- [21] Fleming, W. H.; Soner, H. M. *CONTROLLED MARKOV PROCESSES AND VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS*, Applications of Mathematics (New York), 25. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993. xvi+428 pp.
- [22] Fujita H.; Kato T. On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem. I. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 16 (1964) 269–315.
- [23] Goldys B., Neklyudov M. Beale-Kato-Majda type condition for Burgers equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 354 (2009), 397–411.
- [24] Gomes, D.; Iturriaga, R.; Khanin, K.; Padilla, P. Viscosity limit of stationary distributions for the random forced Burgers equation. *Mosc. Math. J.* 5 (2005), 613–631, 743.
- [25] Gyöngy, I., Nualart, D., On the stochastic Burgers’ equation in the real line. *Ann. Probab.* 27 (1999), 782–802.
- [26] Kato, T.; Fujita, H. On the nonstationary Navier-Stokes system. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* 32 1962 243–260.
- [27] Henry, D. *GEOMETRIC THEORY OF SEMILINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 840. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981. iv+348 pp.
- [28] Hopf, E. The partial differential equation $u_t + uu_x = u_{xx}$. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 3, (1950). 201–230.
- [29] van Neerven, J. γ -Radonifying operators – a survey. [arXiv:0911.3788v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/0911.3788v2)
- [30] Kato T., Strong L^p -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation in R^m , with applications to weak solutions. *Math. Z.* 187 (1984), 471–480.
- [31] Kiselev, A., Ladyzhenskaya, O. A., On the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the nonstationary problem for a viscous, incompressible fluid. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.* 21, (1957) 655–680.
- [32] Krylov, N. V., An analytic approach to SPDEs. *Stochastic partial differential equations: six perspectives*, 185–242, *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, 64, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [33] Weissler, Fred B. The Navier-Stokes initial value problem in L^p . *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 74 (1980), 219–230.
- [34] Fabes, E. B.; Jones, B. F.; Riviere, N. M. The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in L^p . *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 45 (1972), 222–240.
- [35] Beale, J. T.; Kato, T.; Majda, A. Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 94 (1984), 61–66.

- [36] N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe, STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND DIFFUSION PROCESSES. North-Holland Mathematical Library, 24. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York; Kodansha, Ltd., Tokyo, 1981. xiv+464 pp.
- [37] A. Lunardi, ANALYTIC SEMIGROUPS AND OPTIMAL REGULARITY IN PARABOLIC PROBLEMS, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 16. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1995. xviii+424 pp.
- [38] P. Quittner, P. Souplet, SUPERLINEAR PARABOLIC PROBLEMS. BLOW-UP, GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND STEADY STATES, Birkhuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbcher. [Birkhuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks] Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [39] E. Weinan, Khanin, K., Mazel, A., Sinai, Ya., Invariant measures for Burgers equation with stochastic forcing. Ann. of Math. (2) 151 (2000), 877–960.
- [40] Taylor, M. E. PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS. III. NONLINEAR EQUATIONS, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 117. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. xxii+608 pp.
- [41] E. Weinan Stochastic hydrodynamics. Current developments in mathematics, 2000, 109–147, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2001.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NSW, SYDNEY, AUSTRALIA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF YORK, HESLINGTON, UK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF YORK, HESLINGTON, UK